



0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0





Markus Wappl, Sergey Bozhenkov, Jürgen Baldzuhn, Sebastian Bannmann, Oliver Ford, Håkan Smith, Torsten Stange, Edgardo Villalobos and Pavlos Xanthopoulos



0

0

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 – EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

0

Wendelstein 7-X to stellarator-reactor

 $nT_i\tau_E$

- Prospect of fusion power plant
- Steady-state operation
- Tripleproduct
 - Insufficient T_i , τ_E
 - Plasma turbulence
- Scenario development
 - Heating and Fueling
 - Transient high performance









Outline



- Plasma turbulence
 - Instabilities & Drivers
- Methods
 - Power balance analysis
- Database study
 - Correlations with turbulence drivers
- Identify steady-state high performance discharges



Outline



- Plasma turbulence
 - Instabilities & Drivers
- Methods
 - Power balance analysis
- Database study
 - Correlations with turbulence drivers
- Identify steady-state performance discharges



W7-X prone to ITG modes

- Driven by ion temperature gradient
- Suppressed by density gradient
- Strong turbulent transport
 - Limits confinement
 - Profile stiffness limits *T_i*
- Exacerbated by T_e/T_i
- Ion gyroradius scale
 - Transport normalization
 - gyro-Bohm scaling

$$a/L_{Ti} = -\frac{a}{T_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}T_i}{\mathrm{d}r_{\mathrm{eff}}}$$

$$a/L_n = -\frac{a}{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}r_{\mathrm{eff}}}$$

$$\chi_{\rm gB} = \frac{r_L^2 c_s}{a} \sim \frac{T^{3/2}}{a B^2}$$



NBI deposition

- 4 sources, 1.8 MW each
- 10²⁰ particles/s
- Broad deposition profile
- Electron and ion heating
- Operational limit 5 s





R. Nocentini et al 2015 Fusion Engineering and Design 100 pp. 453-460

Steady-state pellet injector



- Hydrogen ice pellets
 - 10²¹ amu
- Acceleration by He gas
 - Up to 600 m/s
- Low field side injection
 - 4 Hz for 30 min
- Mass & velocity measured
 - 2 microwave cavities



E.Villalobos 2024

Outline



- Plasma turbulence
 - Instabilities & Drivers
- Methods
 - Power balance analysis
- Database study
 - Correlations with turbulence drivers
- Identify steady-state high performance discharges



Power balance equation



$$\chi_e = -rac{q_{ ext{turb},e}}{n_e \, T'_e}$$

- Turbulent transport coefficients
 - Diffusive model
 - Neoclassical transport subtracted ٠
 - Figures of merit for turbulence
- Diagnostic limitation where $T_e \approx T_i$ •
 - Only χ_{eff} available

O. P. Ford, et al. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 2020; 91 (2): 023507 DPG 2025 | MARKUS WAPPL

$$\chi_{\rm eff} = -\frac{q_{\rm turb}}{n_e T'_e + n_i T'_i}$$

 $\chi_i = -\frac{q_{\text{turb},i}}{n_i T_i'}$

Power balance analysis



- Requires
 - Plasma profiles
 - Heating sources & sinks
- Thomson scattering
- CXRS/XICS
 - Chosen for each discharge
- Fitting in Profile Cooker app
 - Lowess fits
 - MC sampling of profile uncertainty

- ECRH gauss-shaped deposition
- NBI collisional-radiative beam model
- Radiated power bolometer tomography
- Neotransp code
 - Neoclassical fluxes and electric field
- Power Balance equation
 - Solved in Power House app



- Compare time traces
 - Heating power $P_{\text{ECRH}}, P_{\text{NBI}}$
 - Average electron density $< n_e >$
 - Stored energy W_{dia}
- Each discharge number indicated





- Profiles *n*, *T*
- Gradients a/L_x
- Turbulent transport coefficients χ
- Stationary plasma
 - Flat density profile
 - Ion temperature clamping
 - No turbulence suppression
- Benchmark case



Outline



- Plasma turbulence
 - Instabilities & Drivers
- Methods
 - Power balance analysis
- Database study
 - Correlations with turbulence drivers
- Identify steady-state high performance discharges



Power balance database

- 383 points from 164 discharges
 - Main gas hydrogen
- Evaluated at $r_{\rm eff} = 0.25$ m
 - Large stored energy & gradients
- Turbulent transport
 - Normalized to gyro-Bohm
- Confinement time
 - Normalized to ISS04
 - Separate from power balance
- Inversely proportional $\chi_{\rm eff}/\chi_{\rm gB} \sim 1/(\tau_E/{\rm ISS04})$

Ulrich Stroth 1998 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 40 9





Power balance database





- Slicing 2-D plot a/L_{Ti} = 1.5, a/L_n = 1
- Turbulence suppression
 - With density gradient
 - Trend with ion temperature gradient
 - No clear configuration dependence

Wendelsteir

Density gradient effect

- Electron and ion channel
 - Reduced dataset
 - 316 points from 146 discharges
- Turbulence suppression $a/L_n > 1$
 - Electron channel remains at 0.5 gB
 - Ion channel suppressed to near 0
- Consistent to ITG modes
 - Remaining electron transport not clarified



Outline



- Plasma turbulence
 - Instabilities & Drivers
- Methods
 - Power balance analysis
- Database study
 - Correlations with turbulence drivers
- Identify steady-state high performance discharges







- Continuous pellet injection
- Density gradient collapsed
- ECRH pump-out effect
- Return to conventional
 - •1. Ion temperature
- Turbulent transport











DPG 2025 | MARKUS WAPPI

21.03.2025 22





21.03.2025 24















Wendelstein 7-X

Conclusion

- Extensive turbulent transport database
 - Effective turbulence suppression by density gradient
 - Partial in electrons, complete in ions at $a/L_n = 1$
- Equivalent across all heating and fuelling schemes
 - No clear configuration dependence
- Supports role of ITG modes
- Density gradient can be maintained by pellets and NBI
 - Steady-state turbulence suppression for 4 s
 - Self-ordering process in high iota configuration

Plasma instabilities

- ETG destabilized by electron temperature gradient
 - Electron gyroradius scale
 - Effective transport possible in W7-X?
- TEM density- or temperature gradient driven
 - Both channels
 - Geometry-dependent stabilization with collisionality
- KBM destabilized at β threshold
- Electrostatic modes stabilized at high β







 $a/L_{Te} = -\frac{a}{T_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}T_e}{\mathrm{d}r_{\mathrm{eff}}}$

Wendelstein 7-X

Database results

- Dominant role of ITG modes
- No clear T_e/T_i exacerbation
 - Likely masked by gradient effect
 - Convergence for large T_e/T_i

- No stiffness in the covered range of $\frac{a}{L_{Te}}$
 - No turbulence suppression
 - No conclusion on ETG modes in plot







Database results

- Transport increases with collisionality
 - Contrast to expectation for TEMs
 - T_e dependence correlates v^* to χ_{gB}
- More high-density discharges needed

- Localized β
- Below KBM threshold
- Stabilization of electrostatic modes



Wendelsteir











ITG mechanism

- Drift wave mechanism
- Density and potential perturbation
- In phase
- Wave propagation
- Drifts faster in higher temperature
- Shifts potential phase
- Perturbation growth
- Competes with drift wave

J. H. E. Proll, Trapped-Particle Instabilities in Quasi-Isodynamic Stellarators, Ph.D. thesis, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Universität Greifswald (2014)

 $\nabla \mathbf{n}$



 $\mathrm{E} imes$



Fitting functions



- Polynomial
- Truncated Gaussian
- Two-power
- Gaussian process
- Lowess
- Free parameters
 - Order
 - Length scale
 - others



38

MC sampling

- Uncertainty estimation
 - "error": Measurement errors
 - "std": Temporal deviation
 - "dist": Scatter in data points
- Individual data points
 - Generate distributed samples ²/₂
 - According to weighting
- Repeat fitting function
 - Create samples of fit





Collisional heat exchange

- Large uncertainty
 - In electron heated cases
- Small $T_e T_i$ difference
 - Diagnostic uncertainty
 - Huge exchange power

$$P_{\text{exchange}} \sim n_e^2 \, \frac{T_e - T_i}{\tau_{ei}} \sim n_e^2 \, \frac{T_e - T_i}{T_e^{3/2}}$$

- Set equal and smooth
 - Use single fluid in case of doubt



Wendelstei

Non-stationary conditions

Wendelstein 7-X

21.03.2025

40

- Transient profiles
 - Three or more time points
 - Common radial grid
 - Compute kinetic energy table
- Cubic spline interpolation
 - Function of time
 - For each grid point
- Evaluate derivative of spline
 - Kinetic energy derivative



NBI power deposition model

- Voltage and current
 - Neutralizer spectroscopy
 - Three energy components
- FI drifts omitted
 - Comparison to BEAMS3D
 - 12 % more electron power
 - 19 % more ion power
- Consistent profiles used



DPG 2025 | MARKUS WAPPL

Wendelste

Neoclassical simulation

• Transport equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{\rm NC} T\\ q_{\rm NC} \end{pmatrix} = -n T \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & D_2\\ D_2 & D_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n'}{n} - \frac{ZeE_r}{T} - \frac{3T'}{2T}\\ \frac{T'}{T} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Monoenergetic coefficients from DKES
- Ambipolarity

 $\Gamma_{\mathrm{NC},e} = Z_i \Gamma_{\mathrm{NC},i}$



- Radial electric field
 - Root solutions
- Transition area
 - Diffusion model

$$\frac{\partial E_r}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{V'} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} D_E V' r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{E_r}{r}$$
$$= \frac{|e|}{\varepsilon} (\Gamma_{\text{NC},e} - Z_i \Gamma_{\text{NC},i})$$

Y. Turkin et al 2011 Physics of Plasmas 18 022505

Global simulation with the code EUTERPE

- Self consistent without free parameters M.D. Kuczyński *et al* 2024 *Nuclear Fusion* 64 046023
- Radial electric field
 - Steepness reproducible
 - Zero crossing different
- Transport spike in diffusion model
 - DKES at low collisionality
- Smooth transition in EUTERPE
 - Save choice to calculate roots separately



21.03.2025

43

Plasma scenarios

- Stationary ECRH 1.5 MW, gas fuelling
- Following boronization
- Spontaneous large a/L_n , $r_{eff} > 0.2$ m
- Clamping overcome $T_i \approx 2 \text{ keV}$
- Electron to ion root transition
- Strong E_r gradient
- $\chi_i < \chi_e << \chi_{gB}$
- Coincides with root transition

